IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 3:08-cr-30234-NJR

LOUISE HELEN MASULLA,

Defendant.

ORDER

ROSENSTENGEL, Chief Judge:

Before the Court is Defendant Louise Helen Masulla's Motion for a Reduction of Sentence pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) and Amendment 821 of the United States Sentencing Guidelines ("U.S.S.G."). (Doc. 193). Masulla claims she is eligible for a sentence reduction as a Zero-Point Offender (i.e., individuals who were assessed zero criminal history points at sentencing). *Id*.

Part B of Amendment 821 offers a sentence reduction to certain offenders who "did not receive any criminal history points from Chapter Four, Part A" of the U.S.S.G. See U.S.S.G. §4C1.1(a)(1). In addition, relief is limited to those offenders whose offense did not involve certain aggregating factors. *Id.* One of the aggravating factors identified in the revised guideline is that the offense of conviction is a "sex offense." U.S.S.G. § 4C1.1(a)(5). Section 4C1.1(b)(2) defines "sex offense" as an offense perpetuated against a minor under specific statutes, including those under 18 U.S.C. Chapters 109A, 110, and 117.

Masulla is ineligible for a sentence reduction under Amendment 821 because she received two criminal history points and because she was convicted of the following four offenses: one count of conspiracy to produce child pornography under 18 U.S.C. § 2251(a)&(e); one count of conspiracy to transport minors across state lines with the intent to engage in criminal sexual activity under 18 U.S.C. § 2423(a)&(e); one count of aggravated sexual abuse under 18 U.S.C. § 2241(c); and one count of transportation of child pornography under 18 U.S.C. § 2252(a)(1)&(b)(1). (Doc. 108 at ¶ 86; Doc. 120 at 1; Doc. 121 at 1). These offenses fall under 18 U.S.C. Chapters 109, 110, and 117 and, thus, are "sex offenses" as defined by § 4C1.1(b)(2). Accordingly, the Court finds that Masulla is ineligible for a sentence reduction under Part B of Amendment 821.

For these reasons, Masulla's motion for a sentence reduction under Part B of Amendment 821 (Doc. 193) is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 4, 2024

NANCY J. ROSENSTENGEL Chief U.S. District Judge

Many J. Moenstery